Uncertainty Propagation in Puff-based Dispersion Models Using Polynomial Chaos
Abstract

Atmospheric dispersion is a complex nonlinear physical process with numerous uncertainties in model parameters, inputs, source parameters, initial and boundary conditions. Accurate propagation of these uncertainties through the dispersion models is crucial for a reliable prediction of the probability distribution of the states and assessment of risk. A simple three-dimensional Gaussian puff-based dispersion model is used as a test case to study the effect of uncertainties in the model parameters and initial conditions on the output concentration. A polynomial chaos based approach is used to numerically investigate the evolution of the model output uncertainties due to initial condition and parametric uncertainties. The polynomial chaos solution is found to be an accurate approximation to ground truth, established by Monte Carlo simulation, while offering an efficient computational approach for large nonlinear systems with a relatively small number of uncertainties.
1 Introduction

Real-time detection, tracking, hindcasting, nowcasting and forecasting of chemical, biological and radiological (CBR) releases is important for fast response to CBR leakages and attacks. Atmospheric dispersion models are used to track the evolution of the releases and assess the impact of the exposure to potentially harmful contaminants over space and time. They enable acquisition of useful knowledge about the hazardous releases due to chemical incidents like the Bhopal toxic gas release disaster in 1984 and radiological incidents like the Chernobyl nuclear accident in 1986 [1]. Uncertainty analysis of models is recommended as an integral part of any risk assessment to quantify the degree of confidence in the estimate of risk and is particularly significant in CBR applications [2, 3], since impact to life and property may be catastrophic.

Dispersion involves transport (advection) and diffusion of the target species released into the atmosphere. Dispersion modeling uses mathematical formulations to characterize the atmospheric processes that disperse a pollutant emitted by a source. Various atmospheric dispersion models have been developed to estimate the downwind concentration of the releases, some of which are addressed in the (Environmental Protection Agency) EPA’s Guideline on Air Quality Models [4]. Long-range atmospheric dispersion models, which emphasize regional and continental scales [5], calculate concentration values over a relatively short period of time (hours or days). Models of this type are often used to deal with accidental CBR releases [6]. Two categories of long-range atmospheric dispersion models can be distinguished, Eulerian and Lagrangian. Eulerian models describe the dispersion of pollutants in a fixed frame of reference (fixed with respect to a point on the earth surface). In Lagrangian models, the evolution of a pollutant air parcel (or puff) is described relative to a mobile reference system associated with the puff from its initial position as it moves along its trajectory. In the Lagrangian approach, diffusion, transformation and removal calculations are performed for the moving puffs [5]. The Lagrangian models have been widely used for problems of regional-to-continental scales. RIMPUFF (RIso Mesoscale PUFF model) [7], CALPUFF (CALifornia PUFF model) [8], SCIPUFF (Second-order Closure Integrated PUFF model) [9] are examples of puff-based atmospheric dispersion models for calculating the concentration and doses resulting from the dispersion of airborne materials.

Dispersion is a complex nonlinear physical process with numerous uncertainties in model parameters, inputs, source parameters, initial and boundary conditions. Accurate propagation of these uncertainties through the models is crucial for a reliable prediction of the probability distribution of the states and assessment of risk. Uncertainty propagation in various kinds of dynamical models has been studied extensively in various fields. Most of the methods incorporate linear approximations to nonlinear system response, or involve propagating only a few moments (often, just the mean and the covariance) of the distribution. Adjoint models [10] and parametric differentiation belong to this class and are widely used in sensitivity analysis of the models. These work well if there is adequate local linearity. Another class of methods, often used with models involving nonlinearities, are the various sampling techniques [11]. The uncertainty distributions are taken into account by sampling values from known or approximated distributions and the model is run repeatedly for those values to obtain a sample distribution of the outputs. A Gaussian mixture based approach is proposed in [12] for accurate uncertainty propagation through nonlinear dynamical systems due to uncertain initial conditions. Surveys of the various methods used for uncertainty analysis in dispersion and transport models can be found in [13, 3].

The present work applies generalized polynomial chaos theory [14] to efficiently approximate the solution of a nonlinear dynamical model with parametric uncertainties. Polynomial chaos is a term originated by
Norbert Wiener in 1938 [15], to describe the members of the span of Hermite polynomial functionals of a Gaussian process. According to the Cameron-Martin Theorem [16], the Fourier-Hermite polynomial chaos expansion converges, in the $L^2$ sense, to any arbitrary process with finite variance (which applies to most physical processes). This approach is combined with the finite element method to model uncertainty in [17]. This has been generalized in [14] to efficiently use the orthogonal polynomials from the Askey-scheme to model various probability distributions. The polynomial chaos approach has been applied in modeling uncertainties in multibody dynamical systems [18], environmental and biological systems [19], structural mechanics [20] and computational fluid dynamics [21, 22]. This approach has been recently applied for uncertainty quantification and apportionment studies on an Eulerian air quality model [23]. Monte Carlo techniques have been applied in [24] to obtain stochastic estimates of exposure and cancer risk, due to parametric uncertainties in a Lagrangian Gaussian-puff dispersion model. The present work involves the propagation of parametric and initial condition uncertainty through a nonlinear puff-based Lagrangian dispersion model, using polynomial chaos. Gaussian puff-based models [25, 7] of this sort are often used to make fast release concentration predictions, in which a series of Gaussian shaped puffs (pollutant atmospheric parcels with a Gaussian distribution of the concentration field for each puff) are released at the sources and propagated in the atmosphere.

In this work, a representative three-dimensional nonlinear Gaussian puff based dispersion model is used to examine the effects of diffusion parametric uncertainties on the solution. The model is introduced and its dynamics are described in Section 2. The polynomial chaos approach is described in Section 3. Then, the uncertainty propagation is considered for uncertainties in diffusion parameters for two important cases in the target applications: normal and uniform distributions. The results of numerical trials are discussed in Section 4. Section 5 includes some concluding remarks and thoughts for future work.

2 Dispersion Model

The atmospheric dispersion model used in this work is based on the RIMPUFF [7] (Riso Mesoscale PUFF) model which was designed to calculate the concentration and doses resulting from the dispersion of airborne radioactive particles. It is a Lagrangian mesoscale atmospheric dispersion puff model, which applies both to homogeneous and inhomogeneous terrain with moderate topography on a horizontal scale of up to 50 km, and responds to changing (non-stationary) meteorological conditions. The model simulates the time varying release (emission) of airborne materials by sequentially releasing a series of Gaussian shaped puffs at a fixed rate on a specified grid. The amount of airborne material allocated to individual puffs equals the current release rate multiplied by the elapsed time between puff releases. At each time step, the model advects, diffuses and deposits the individual puffs according to local meteorological and physico-chemical parameter values. This model is used as a basis to design the present simple dispersion model to study the effects of parametric uncertainty on the solution.

2.1 Gaussian Puff Characteristics

The concentration distribution in each puff is Gaussian in three-dimensional physical space. Its mean represents the location of the puff center, and the standard deviations scales the size of the puff in the three spatial directions. For convenience, the standard deviation $\sigma_x$ in the downwind direction is made equal to
the standard deviation $\sigma_y$ in the crosswind direction and is denoted by $\sigma_{xy}$. The mass $Q$ of the puff is assumed to be constant, that is, deposition and species conversion are not modeled.

Each Gaussian puff has five scalar parameters which vary with time: $[X, Y, Z, \sigma_{xy}, \sigma_z]$, where

$$
X = [X, Y, Z]^T, \text{Centroid of the Gaussian puff}
$$

$$
\sigma_{xy} = \text{Puff size in x and y directions (std. deviation)}
$$

$$
\sigma_z = \text{Puff size in z direction}
$$

The concentration $c$ at a grid point $x_g = [x_g, y_g, z_g]^T$, at each time step, is calculated by summing the contributions of all the puffs at that instant.

$$
c(x_g) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{Q_j}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 |\Sigma_j|}} e^{-\frac{((x_j - x_g)^T \Sigma_j^{-1} (x_j - x_g))^2}{2}}
$$

where $N$ is the number of puffs and

$$
\Sigma_j = \begin{bmatrix}
\sigma_{xy_j}^2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \sigma_{xy_j}^2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \sigma_{z_j}^2
\end{bmatrix}
$$

### 2.2 Puff Dynamics

The advection and diffusion of each puff takes place according to local meteorological parameter values. In this model, the advection of each puff is calculated according to the surface wind vector, $\mathbf{u}$ at the puff center and the time step, $\Delta T$ used to determine the next position of the puff center. Wind shear will cause the puff height to increase when the vertical diffusion $\sigma_z$ increases. Plume rise due to heat content, buoyancy, release momentum and other effects is ignored for the present simple model, which is given by the ordinary difference equations (ODEs):

$$
X_{k+1} = X_k + u_X \Delta T \\
Y_{k+1} = Y_k + u_Y \Delta T \\
Z_{k+1} = \sqrt{Z_k^2 + \frac{\sigma_{z_{k+1}}^2 - \sigma_z^2}{2\pi}}
$$

where, $\mathbf{u} = [u_X, u_Y]^T$ at $[X_k, Y_k]^T$

$u_X, u_Y$ being the X and Y components of the wind vector. Expansion with time of a single puff is fundamentally related to the relative diffusion process. It is computed from simultaneous measurements or specifications of the atmospheric turbulence intensity and/or stability in the dispersion area. For the current model, standard plume dispersion information is used. Pasquill parameterization, using a modified Karlsruhe-Jülich system [7], is employed. These parameters can be used to describe puff growth in a constant wind field scenario[26] which we consider in the present work. This parameterization is valid for limited cases of near ground level releases and dispersion over flat terrains. The growth of each puff is described by
\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{xyk+1} &= p_y s_{k+1}^{q_y} \\
\sigma_{zk+1} &= p_z s_{k+1}^{q_z}
\end{align*}
\] (3)

where, \( s = \) downwind distance given by,

\[
s_{k+1} = s_k + \sqrt{u^2_X + u^2_Y} \Delta T
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{\sigma_{xyk}}{p_y} \right)^{1/q_y} + \sqrt{u^2_X + u^2_Y} \Delta T
\]

\( p_y, q_y, p_z, q_z = \) stability dependent parameters

(Karlsruhe-Jülich diffusion coefficients)

Deposition and target species chemical reactivity are neglected for the present model and hence the mass of each puff remains constant during the dispersion process.

3 Polynomial Chaos

The polynomial chaos theory is applied for the solution of a system of ODEs. A dynamical system with uncertainties represented by a set of ODEs with stochastic parameters, can be transformed into a deterministic system of equations in the coefficients of a series expansion using this approach. The development in this section largely follows [17] and [14]. The basic goal of the approach is to approximate the stochastic system states in terms of a finite-dimensional series expansion in the infinite-dimensional stochastic space. The completeness of the space allows for the accurate representation of any random variable, with a given probability density function (pdf), by a suitable projection on the space spanned by a carefully selected basis. The basis can be chosen for a given pdf, to represent the random variable with the fewest number of terms. For example, the Hermite polynomial basis can be used to represent random variables with Gaussian distribution using only two terms. For dynamical systems described by parameterized models, the unknown coefficients are determined by minimizing an appropriate norm of the residual.

Let us consider a dynamical system of the form:

\[
x(k + 1; p) = f(x(k), u; p)
\] (4)

where, \( x(k; p) \in \mathbb{R}^n \) represents the stochastic system state vector at time step \( k \), \( u \) represents the deterministic input and \( p \in \mathbb{R}^m \) represents the vector of uncertain system parameters, which is a function of a random vector \( \xi \), with components \( \xi_j \) having a known pdf \( g(\xi_j) \), with common support \( \Omega \). Now, each of the uncertain states and parameters can be expanded approximately by the finite dimensional Wiener-Askey polynomial chaos [14] as:

\[
x_i(k, p) = \sum_{r=0}^{P} x_{ir}(k) \phi_r(\xi) = x_i^T(k) \Phi(\xi)
\] (5)

\[
p_j(\xi) = \sum_{r=0}^{P} p_{jr} \phi_r(\xi) = p_j^T \Phi(\xi)
\] (6)

The total number of terms in the expansion is \( P + 1 \) and is determined by the chosen highest order \( (l) \) of the polynomials \( \{\phi_r\} \) and the dimension \( (m) \) of the vector of uncertain parameters \( p \).

\[
P + 1 = \frac{(l + m)!}{l!m!}
\] (7)
3.1 Example

For a dynamical system with two independent uncertain parameters, each of which is a Gaussian random variable $N(\mu_j, \sigma_j^2)$ for $j = 1, 2$, Hermite polynomials are chosen as the random orthogonal basis functions for expansion. Each of the uncertain parameters is expressed as a function of an independent Gaussian random variable $\xi_j \in N(0, 1)$. The orthogonal polynomials used in the polynomial chaos expansion are constructed as the tensor products of 1-D Hermite polynomials in the $\xi_1, \xi_2$ space, the joint pdf of the random variables being given by:

$$g(\xi) = g(\xi_1)g(\xi_2)$$

The resulting orthogonal polynomials up to order $l$ are given by:

$$\phi(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \phi_i(\xi_1)\phi_j(\xi_2),$$

for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, l$ and $j = 0, 1, \ldots, l$, where $i + j \leq l$.

The Hermite polynomials up to, say, order $l = 2$ can be written as:

$$\begin{align*}
\phi_0(\xi_1, \xi_2) & = 1 \\
\phi_1(\xi_1, \xi_2) & = \xi_1 \\
\phi_2(\xi_1, \xi_2) & = \xi_2 \\
\phi_3(\xi_1, \xi_2) & = \xi_1^2 - 1 \\
\phi_4(\xi_1, \xi_2) & = \xi_1\xi_2 \\
\phi_5(\xi_1, \xi_2) & = \xi_2^2 - 1
\end{align*}$$

where each $\xi_i$ is $N(0, 1)$. Note that there are six terms in the expansion as given by Eq. (7). The system states can be written as:

$$x_i(t; \xi_1, \xi_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{5} x_{ir}(t)\phi_r(\xi_1, \xi_2), \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, n$$

(9)

The two uncertain parameters can be expanded as:

$$p_j(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \mu_j + \sigma_j\xi_j, \text{ for } j = 1, 2$$

(10)

Note that the coefficients for the other terms are all zero.

3.2 Solution

Substitution of the approximate expressions for $x$ and $p$ in Eq. (5) and Eq. (6), in Eq. (4) leads to:

$$e_i(\xi) = x_i^T(k + 1)\Phi(\xi) - f_i(x_1(k), \ldots, x_n(k), u, p_1, \ldots, p_m; \Phi(\xi))$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, n$

(11)

where, $e(\xi)$ represents the error due to the truncated polynomial chaos expansions of $x$ and $p$. The $n(P + 1)$ time-varying unknown coefficients $x_{ir}$ can be obtained using the Galerkin projection method. Projecting
the error onto the space of basis functions \( \{ \phi_r \} \) and minimizing it leads to \( n(P+1) \) deterministic ordinary difference equations (ODEs):

\[
\langle e_i(\xi), \phi_r(\xi) \rangle = 0 \quad (12)
\]

for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \) and \( r = 0, \ldots, P \)

where \( \langle u(\xi), v(\xi) \rangle = \int_{\Omega} u(\xi)v(\xi)g(\xi)d\xi \) represents the inner product induced by pdf \( g(\xi) \). For linear and polynomial functions, these integrals can be easily evaluated analytically [17] to obtain the difference equations. For non-polynomial nonlinearities, these integrals represent a challenge. Numerical quadrature methods are used to evaluate the multi-dimensional integrals in the present work. For instance, Gauss-Hermite quadrature formulae may be used to evaluate the integrals for a Hermite polynomial basis. These quadrature methods fall under the broader sampling-based Non-Intrusive Spectral Projection (NISP) methods discussed in [27]. The differential equations can then be solved to obtain the time-history of the time-varying coefficients \( x_{ir} \). The solution of the stochastic system in Eq. (4) can thus be obtained in terms of polynomial functionals of random variables \( \xi_i \):

\[
x_i(k, p) = \sum_{r=0}^{P} x_{ir}(k)\phi_r(\xi), \quad i = 1, \ldots, n
\]

This expression can be used to estimate the pdf of the solution by Monte Carlo sampling of the random variables. Further, the first coefficient \( x_{i0}(k) \) represents the mean of the solution \( x_i(k, p) \) when \( \phi_0(\xi) = 1 \). The other coefficients similarly represent the combinations of various moments of the solution:

\[
x_{ir}(k) = \frac{\langle x_i(k, p), \phi_r(\xi) \rangle}{\langle \phi_r(\xi), \phi_r(\xi) \rangle} = \frac{\int_{\Omega} x_i(k, \xi)\phi_r(\xi)g(\xi)d\xi}{\int_{\Omega} \phi_r^2(\xi)g(\xi)d\xi} \quad (13)
\]

The mean of the solution \( x_i(k, \xi) \) can be easily calculated using the equation

\[
E[x_i(k, p(\xi))] = \mu = \int_{\Omega} x_i(k, p(\xi))g(\xi)d\xi \quad (14)
\]

and the higher central moments by the equation:

\[
E[(x_i(k, p(\xi)) - \mu)^n] = \int_{\Omega} (x_i(k, p(\xi)) - \mu)^ng(\xi)d\xi \quad (15)
\]

4 Results and Discussion

In the present work, the effects of uncertainties in diffusion parameters \( p_y, q_y, p_z \) and \( q_z \) and in initial location \([x_0, y_0] \) of the source on the solution of the dispersion model are studied in a simulated CBR dispersion scenario. The simulation experiments are performed for a plume evolution whose target species mass for each puff is \( 5 \times 10^5 \). The results are shown for two scenarios:

- Single puff release with parametric uncertainty, no initial location uncertainty and a fixed wind field at all locations
- Multiple puff release with parametric and initial location uncertainties, and wind varying with location

These scenarios and parameters for the simulations are next described.
4.1 Single puff release

The release occurs at the origin. The wind blows horizontally across the domain at 5m/s at an angle of 30° from the x-axis. The evolution of the 5 states of the puff is shown in Fig. 1, for the following diffusion parameters to determine the growth of a puff:

\[
\begin{align*}
p_y &= 0.640, & q_y &= 0.784 \\
p_z &= 0.215, & q_z &= 0.885 \end{align*}
\] (16)

Figure 1: Evolution of puff states

The evolution of the surface concentration (concentration at \([x, y, 0]\)) due to the release is shown in Fig. 2, where the concentration surface and contour plots are shown for two time instants \(t = 10\) and \(t = 20\).

Figure 2: Evolution of concentration
The effects of parametric uncertainty are discussed for the cases of normal and uniform distribution of the four diffusion parameters $p_y, q_y, p_z$ and $q_z$. Since these parameters do not effect the evolution of $X_k$ and $Y_k$, they are deterministic.

4.1.1 Normal distribution

The diffusion parameters are assumed to be normally distributed around the values chosen in Eq. (16), with a standard deviation of 0.05. The three uncertain puff states are expanded, as described in Eq. (9), in terms of Hermite polynomial functionals of $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^4$, each $\xi_m$ being a random variable with distribution $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$. The series expansion is done up to order $l$, chosen appropriately. The diffusion parameters are similarly expanded, as described in Eq. (10). These polynomial chaos expansions are substituted in Eq. (2) and Eq. (3). Using Galerkin approximation as in Eq. (11), a set of deterministic ODEs are obtained which can be solved to obtain the solution of the stochastic system.

The resulting pdfs of the states are plotted for each of the uncertain states in Fig. 3. These are compared with the pdfs obtained by the Monte Carlo solution, which involves solving the stochastic system many times, each time with a sample of the diffusion parameters drawn from their uncertainty distributions. 10000 sample draws are chosen in the current example. The polynomial chaos approach involves fewer computations than the standard Monte Carlo approach while it can be seen that the approach captures the distribution of all the states as well as the Monte Carlo approach.

The pdf of each uncertain state is plotted using the MATLAB® function ksdensity which computes the probability density estimate using a normal kernel smoothing method. The function takes the Monte Carlo realizations of the uncertain state as the input to obtain the density estimate.

![Figure 3: pdf of uncertain states for normally distributed uncertainties](image)

The concentration can be obtained by substituting the polynomial chaos solution in Eq. (1). In Fig. 4, the error in polynomial chaos solution is shown, at the grid location $[20, 10, 0]$ for time $T = 15$. The absolute error in mean and variance respectively, is plotted by comparing with the solution obtained by solving the system for 50000 Monte Carlo runs. The error is plotted for the polynomial chaos series expansion up to
order 4, and for the 10000 run Monte Carlo solution. It can be seen that the error decreases with increase in the order for the polynomial chaos solution. In all our examples, the polynomial chaos solution is for order 4, and the Monte Carlo solution is for 10000 runs.

The polynomial chaos solution gives the joint effect of all the uncertain parameters on the concentration. The effect of a single parameter, $\xi_j$ on the solution $y(\xi)$ can be captured using the moment equations:

$$\mu_j = \int_{\Omega} y(\xi) g(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{j-1}, \xi_{j+1}, \ldots, \xi_m) d\xi$$

(17)

$\mu_j$ being the mean, and the higher central moments $\mu^j_k$:

$$\mu^j_k = \int_{\Omega} (y(\xi) - \mu_j)^k g(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{j-1}, \xi_{j+1}, \ldots, \xi_m) d\xi$$

(18)

The variation of the concentration with each of the uncertain diffusion parameters is shown in Fig. 5, for three different grid locations $S_1$, $S_2$ and $S_3$ at time $T = 15$. The three locations are chosen such that $S_2$ is near the puff center at that time, $S_1$ is away from the puff center on a line orthogonal to the plume path and $S_3$ away from the puff center but, along the plume path. The effect of each of the 4 uncertain diffusion parameters $p_y$, $q_y$, $p_z$, $q_z$ is shown for each of the 3 locations, by plotting the mean and one standard deviation (1$\sigma$) bounds of the concentration values. The pdf of each of the parameters is also shown. It can be seen from the plots that the mean concentration is higher for all the 3 grid locations, for smaller values of the diffusion parameters $p_z$ and $q_z$, which correspond to diffusion in the z-direction. However, the concentration variation with $p_y$ and $q_y$ depend on the grid locations. For locations $S_1$ and $S_3$ away from the puff center, smaller values of diffusion in the xy-direction means the puff has not diffused to those locations at that time instant. Therefore, higher values of the diffusion parameters mean an expanded puff causing an increase in the mean concentration. A further increase in diffusion leads to dissipation and reduction in the concentration values. For location $S_2$, which is near the puff center, smaller diffusion parameters lead to higher concentrations as expected. The 1$\sigma$ bounds are larger for larger mean concentration values in all scenarios.

In Fig. 6, the concentration contours at time $T = 15$ are plotted across the domain, for concentration values greater than a given threshold, which is chosen as 3 in this example. The first plot shows the mean
Figure 5: Sensitivity of concentration w.r.t. normally distributed diffusion parameters

Figure 6: Concentration ($C > 3$) field at $T = 15$

($\mu$) concentration contours whereas the second plot shows the contours of concentration mean plus three standard deviations taking the uncertainty into account. The second plot gives a more realistic estimate of
the hazard map to the decision-maker, compared to the one generated using just the expected concentration. Tracking the higher moments in addition to the mean is very important in such scenarios.

![Figure 7: pdf of concentration for normally distributed uncertainties](image)

The *pdf* of the concentration at time $T = 15$ for grid location $[60, 40, 0]$, is compared with that obtained from Monte Carlo solutions of the system in Fig. 7. It can be seen from the figure that the result is consistent with the Monte Carlo solution. In the same Fig. 7, the *pdf* of the concentration, obtained using the mean and variance information propagated using a linearized error model of the system, is also plotted (see the green dashed line). Since this method propagates only the mean and covariance, the true distribution is approximated with a Gaussian. It can be seen that the nonlinearities in the model and concentration equation cause the true *pdf* to be very different from this result. For instance, while the estimated mean concentration values are 9.51 for the true Monte Carlo solution and 9.6 for the polynomial chaos solution, the same for the solution obtained using linearized error propagation model is 12.25. Further, it can be seen from the magnified section of Fig. 7, that this approximation gives a negligible probability for concentrations above 25, while the *pdf* obtained from Monte Carlo/polynomial chaos approach gives a significant probability to influence the risk assessment of a decision-maker. In a CBR scenario, a decision-maker might order an evacuation based on the more accurate risk assessment, while not doing so given the less accurate method propagating only low order moments. Or take other protective measures such as requiring first responders to wear protective gear. This illustrates the limitations of the linearization approach, and propagating only the mean and covariance of the distribution.

### 4.1.2 Uniform distribution

The diffusion parameters are assumed to be uniformly distributed in this case. The bounds $[a_j, b_j]$ of the distribution of each of the parameters are as shown in Table 1.

The three uncertain puff states are expanded, in terms of Legendre polynomial functionals of $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^4$, each $\xi_m$ being a random variable with distribution $\mathcal{U}(-1, 1)$. The series expansion is done up to order 4.
Table 1: Uniform Distribution bounds of Diffusion Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p_j$</th>
<th>$a_j$</th>
<th>$b_j$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$p_y$</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_y$</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_z$</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_z$</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The diffusion parameters are similarly expanded as follows:

$$p_j(\xi) = \frac{b_j - a_j}{2} + \frac{b_j + a_j}{2} \xi_j,$$

for $j = 1, \ldots, 4$

where, $p_j \in \mathcal{U}(a_j, b_j)$

These polynomial chaos expansions are substituted in Eq. (2) and Eq. (3). Using Galerkin projection as in Eq. (11), a set of deterministic ODEs are obtained which can be solved to obtain the solution of the stochastic system. The resulting PDFs of the states are plotted for each of the uncertain states in Fig. 8, and compared with the PDF of the Monte Carlo solutions. It can be seen that the polynomial chaos approach captures the distribution of all states as well as the Monte Carlo approach. The highly skewed non-Gaussian nature of the PDFs is well captured. This confirms that in addition to the mean and variance, the higher moments are also well captured by the PC expansion.

Figure 8: PDF of uncertain states for uniformly distributed uncertainties

The uncertain concentration at a grid point at various time instants can be similarly obtained using a Legendre polynomial chaos expansion of the concentration. The coefficients are calculated using the Galerkin projection. The resulting PDF is compared with that obtained from Monte Carlo solutions of the system in Fig. 9.

The results show the ability of the method to accurately propagate the PDF of the uncertain states through nonlinear dynamics, for a wide variety of uncertainty distributions in the model parameters. The same approach is similarly valid for the propagation of initial condition uncertainties in the states, as illustrated
in the following.

4.2 Multiple puff release

In this case, there are three puff releases from the source, one each at 0s, 5s and 10s. The location of the source is uncertain and is around [200, 100]. The wind speed across the domain is 5m/s with varying direction dependent on the location as shown in Fig. 10. The evolution of the five states of each of the three puffs is shown in Fig. 11, for the diffusion parameters in Eq. (16).

The evolution of the surface concentration (concentration at [x, y, 0]) due to the release is shown in Fig. 12, where the concentration surface and contour plots are shown for two time instants t = 10 and t = 30. It is to be noted that the figure shows one particular realization of possible plume paths. Depending on the
initial location of the source, the plume path could be north-ward or south-ward because of the varying wind direction with location.

The effects of parametric and initial condition uncertainties are discussed for the cases of normal and uniform distribution of the four diffusion parameters $p_y, q_y, p_z$ and $q_z$ and initial location $[x_0, y_0]$.

### 4.2.1 Normal distribution

The diffusion parameters are assumed to be normally distributed around the values chosen in Eq. (16), with a standard deviation of 0.05. The initial location is assumed to be normally distributed with mean
[185, 100, 0] and standard deviation [5, 5, 0]. In this case, the locations of each of the puffs in the evolution of the plume are also uncertain. The polynomial chaos expansions are done in terms of Hermite functionals, as described in the earlier section and a set of deterministic ODEs are obtained which can be solved to obtain the solution of the stochastic system. The initial condition uncertainties in the source location translate into the corresponding initial conditions of the deterministic set of ODEs.

The resulting pdfs of the states are plotted for each of the uncertain states in Fig. 13. These are compared with the pdfs obtained by the Monte Carlo solution, which involves solving the stochastic system several times, each time with a sample of the diffusion parameters and initial location drawn from their uncertainty distributions. The polynomial chaos approach performs well in capturing the propagated distribution.

![Figure 13: pdf of uncertain states for normally distributed uncertainties](image)

![Figure 14: pdf of concentration for normally distributed uncertainties](image)
Figure 15: Histograms of concentration for normally distributed uncertainties

The pdf (obtained using the MATLAB ksdensity function) of the uncertain concentration at a particular grid point (in this case $[150, 70, 0]$) is compared with that obtained from Monte Carlo solutions of the system in Fig. 14. The histograms of the same are shown in Fig. 15. It can be seen from the figures that the result agrees with the Monte Carlo solution.

4.2.2 Uniform distribution

The diffusion parameters and the uncertain source location are assumed to be uniformly distributed in this case. The distribution of diffusion parameters is same as earlier, as shown in Table 1. The bounds $[a_j, b_j]$ of the distribution of each of the source location parameters are as shown in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>x</th>
<th>$a_j$</th>
<th>$b_j$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x_0$</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_0$</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$z_0$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Uniform Distribution bounds of Source Location

All the five puff states for each puff are expanded, in terms of Legendre polynomial functionals as described earlier, and a set of deterministic ODEs are obtained which can be solved to obtain the solution of the stochastic system. The initial condition uncertainties in the source location translate into the corresponding initial conditions of the deterministic set of ODEs.

The resulting pdfs of the states are plotted for each of the uncertain states in Fig. 16, and compared with the pdfs of the Monte Carlo solutions. It can be seen that the polynomial chaos approach captures the non-Gaussian distribution of all states, closely following the Monte Carlo approach.

The pdf of the uncertain concentration at $[150, 70, 0]$ is similarly compared with that obtained from Monte Carlo solutions of the system in Fig. 17. The histograms of the same is shown in Fig. 18. It can be seen from the figure that the result agrees with the Monte Carlo solution. Note that the pdf obtained using
Figure 16: pdf of uncertain states for uniformly distributed uncertainties

Figure 17: pdf of concentration for uniformly distributed uncertainties

the MATLAB `ksdensity` function in Fig. 17 seems to have support for negative concentrations, only because of the approximation using normal kernels.

These results illustrate the ability of the generalized polynomial chaos method to accurately quantify the evolution of parametric and initial condition uncertainties.

5 Conclusion

A three-dimensional puff-based dispersion model has been tested for the purpose of accurately estimating the uncertainty distribution of the solution, caused by propagation of the uncertainty in the diffusion param-
The polynomial chaos approach has been used to study the effect of each of the diffusion parameters on the output concentration at various spatial locations. Using this approach, the solution to the stochastic nonlinear dynamical system is obtained in terms of functionals of random variables, in terms of which the true distribution and moments of the solution can be approximated. The polynomial chaos solution is shown to compare well with the ground truth, determined by Monte Carlo simulation. The polynomial chaos approach involves fewer computations than the standard Monte Carlo solution approach which requires solving the dynamical model many times for many realizations of the uncertain parameters. The higher moments of the output concentration distribution give a more realistic estimate of the hazard maps to the decision-maker, in case of harmful releases into the atmosphere. When measurements are available, this information about the distribution of the propagated solution can be used to make predictions with improved accuracy using data assimilation. This suggests an extension of this approach to filtering problems with dynamical models having known parametric uncertainty distributions, a task currently under investigation.
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